

LOS ANGELES, CA 90025

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/912,427	07/26/2001	Bradford H. Needham	P 279172 P11167 4273	
7:	590 12/04/2006		EXAMINER	
SHARMINI N		D & ZAEMANIID		
c/o BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEVENTH FLO				

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37) NEEDHAM ET AL. 09/912,427 Art Hnit Evaminar

	(37 01 11 71.01)	Examine	Artonit				
		George C. Neurauter, Jr.	2143				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
The Ap	peal Brief filed on <u>25 October 2006</u> is defective	for failure to comply with one or	more provisions	of 37 CFR 41.37			
1205.0	id dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an 3) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from t ISIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRA	he mailing date of this Notificatio					
1. 🗌	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.						
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).						
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).						
4. 🗌	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function unde 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).						
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))						
6. 🗌	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).						
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).						
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).						
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR $41.37(c)(1)(x)$).						
10.🖂	Other (including any explanation in support of t	he above items):					
	The reply to the previous notice of non-compliant ap corrected complete copy of the appeal brief is submicompliant notice wherein the claimed invention is no refer to the specification by page and line number as brief is also required since the originally submitted a transmission.	itted with the corrections that were re t mapped to independent claims 1, 1 nd to the drawings, if any. A complet	equired in the previ 11, 19, 29, and 31, e and total copy of	ious non- which shall the appeal			

DAVID WILEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100